### Faculty Performance Expectations ENGLISH

Professional faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching and service (see section 5.224 and 5.226). Professorial faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching, scholarship and service (see sections 5.224-5.226).

All faculty members should be making progress toward performing at the preferred level in each of the areas applicable to their appointment. The preferred level describes the average or typical performance level for a faculty member making good progress toward final promotion. The exceptional level would characterize and recognize faculty who demonstrated significant achievements, well beyond the preferred level. The acceptable level describes the minimum performance expected for continued employment. Note: unacceptable performance is defined as below an acceptable level and may require a plan for correction (see 5.370).

The bylaws sections 5.224-5.226 describe the university expectations in each area. In addition, tables list characteristics in each area for acceptable, preferred, and exceptional performance. Departments articulate their expectations for faculty in their discipline(s) by additions or clarifications to the University characteristics in the tables for teaching and service. Departments articulate their expectations for scholarship in a discipline-specific scholarship table. See section 5.227 for more information regarding the goals and review process for departmental expectations.

All faculty members must have the educational background required and have completed the required years in rank prior to the effective date of promotion or the required years of service prior to the date of awarding of tenure or a three-year extendable appointment (see section 5.223).

In addition, the faculty member's performance portfolio must be reviewed and demonstrate that there are sufficient contributions in each of the areas appropriate to the faculty member's appointment. Faculty must meet or exceed the acceptable performance level in each area applicable to their appointment. The number of areas required to exceed the acceptable level gradually increases (see table below) until all areas must be at the preferred level for final promotion (Senior Instructor 2 or Full Professor). Note: exceptional performance is not expected, nor required for promotion to any rank, however faculty members may elect to replace preferred performance in two areas with acceptable performance in one area and exceptional performance in the other.

	Min	Min	Min	
	Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional	
SR Instructor 1 (3 year extendable appt.)	1	1		
		2		
SR Instructor 2		— OR —		
	1		1	
Associate	2	1		
	1	2		
Tenure		— OR —		
	2		1	
		3		
Professor		— OR —		
	1	1	1	

### **Teaching Performance Levels**

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Student evaluations	Student evaluations	Student evaluations
• Rate instructor's teaching	• Rate instructor's teaching	<ul> <li>Rate instructor's teaching</li> </ul>
effectiveness "very good" or higher	effectiveness at or near	effectiveness well into
(see section 5.260)	"outstanding" (see section 5.260)	"outstanding" (see section 5.260)
Classroom Instruction	Classroom Instruction	Classroom Instruction
<ul> <li>Evidence of a commitment to</li> </ul>	• Evidence of a commitment to	<ul> <li>Recognized by colleagues as a</li> </ul>
improve instruction, such as	improve instruction (see acceptable	highly skilled and
<ul> <li>Professional development activities</li> </ul>	column)	knowledgeable instructor
that impact instruction		
<ul> <li>Work with colleagues that impact</li> </ul>	Beyond evidence of effective	• Models excellent teaching
instruction	practices (see acceptable column),	
	also shares successful and/or	<ul> <li>Demonstrates attention and</li> </ul>
• Evidence of effective practices, such as	innovative practices with colleagues	responsiveness to student needs
<ul> <li>Reflection and self-improvement</li> </ul>	Curricular Development	Curricular Development (see
<ul> <li>Engaging teaching methods</li> </ul>	Beyond integrating courses into	preferred column)
<ul> <li>Providing meaningful classroom</li> </ul>	departmental programs (see	
experiences	acceptable column), also is an	Mentoring
	effective partner in curricular and	<ul> <li>Significant student mentoring</li> </ul>
Curricular Development	program design and delivery	activities (either in quantity or
• Integrates courses into departmental		quality of work with students)
programs, such as	Mentoring	
o Effectively prepares students for	• Actively involved in some student	<ul> <li>Mentors colleagues to develop</li> </ul>
subsequent courses	mentoring activities	their instructional abilities
o Effectively builds on students prior		(assessment, curricular design,
learning	Departmental Needs (see	effective delivery, etc.)
o Effectively addresses departmental	acceptable column)	
learning outcomes		Departmental Needs (see
Donauton autal Nacida		acceptable column)
Departmental Needs		
• Cooperates with program faculty in		
meeting departmental loading needs		

[Department Expectations take the form of added bullets (solid circles) under any or all of the headings above as well as added bullets (open circles) under any or all of the existing bullets above.]

### **Service Performance Levels**

#### Exceptional Acceptable Preferred **Departmental Service** (see **Departmental Service Departmental Service** (see Active participant in departmental acceptable column) acceptable column) work: **University/Professional Service** University/Professional Service Advising students in departmental programs; (see preferred column) University service on active writing letters of committees (at least one recommendation; assisting at committee every year under Leadership preview days, registration review, more if committee(s) Recognized as a faculty and orientation activities; and is/are not very active). Active leader on campus other advising-related service in professional activities organization or capacity may Served in multiple leadership Effective contributor on substitute for a University roles his/her fair share of committee. departmental committees Significant accomplishments Effectively carrying out Effective partner in at the institutional level as a his/her fair share of accomplishing assignments faculty leader (either multiple individual departmental tasks committees or taskforces, as Leadership a program director, as a University/Professional Service Some documentable department chair, or other Some activity beyond department significant leadership accomplishment in a leadership or program (e.g. serve on active role at the departmental. responsibilities resulting in University committee most years multiple documentable institutional or professional under review). Active service in level during period under achievements that furthered professional organization or review (department chair, the institutional mission) capacity may substitute for a program coordinator, faculty University committee. program director, chair active committee, lead taskforce. significant individual task, etc.)

[Department Expectations take the form of added bullets (solid circles) under any or all of the headings above as well as added bullets (open circles) under any or all of the existing bullets above.]

# Scholarship Performance Levels Promotion to Associate Professor in English

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Originality  Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member	Originality  Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member	Originality  Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member  Originality
<ul> <li>Meaningfulness</li> <li>Publication of one article in journal or book</li> <li>Two external presentations such as conference papers, lectures, etc. (additional publications may be substituted)</li> <li>Other activity for consideration: <ul> <li>Award of external grants</li> <li>Editorial Work</li> <li>Reviews and review essays</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Meaningfulness</li> <li>Publication of two articles in journals or books</li> <li>Three presentations (additional publications may be substituted)</li> <li>Other activity for consideration:</li> <li>Award of external grants</li> <li>Editorial work</li> <li>Reviews and review essays</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Meaningfulness</li> <li>Publication of a book or</li> <li>Publication of three or more articles</li> <li>Four or more presentations (additional publications may be substituted)</li> <li>Other activity for consideration: <ul> <li>National/global recognition as an expert in one's field</li> <li>Award of external grants</li> <li>Editorial Work</li> <li>Reviews and review essays</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Review  Restrictive external peer review for publications	Review  Restrictive peer review for publications	Review  Recognized academic publisher for book Restrictive peer review for publications
Dissemination Regional, national, and/or international	Dissemination  National and/or international	Dissemination  National and/or international

# Scholarship Performance Levels Promotion to Full Professor in English

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Originality  Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member	Originality  • Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member	Originality  • Each publication/presentation includes original content by faculty member
<ul> <li>Meaningfulness</li> <li>Publication of two articles in journals or books</li> <li>Four external presentations such as conference papers, lectures, etc. (additional publications may be substituted)</li> <li>Other activity for consideration:</li> <li>Award of external grants</li> <li>Editorial work</li> <li>Reviews and review essays</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Meaningfulness</li> <li>Publication of three articles</li> <li>Five presentations (additional publications may be substituted)</li> <li>Other activity for consideration:</li> <li>Award of external grants</li> <li>Editorial work</li> <li>Reviews and review essays</li> </ul>	Meaningfulness  Publication of a book or  Publication of four or more articles  Six or more presentations (additional publications may be substituted)  Other activity for consideration:  National/global recognition as an expert in one's field  Award of external grants  Editorial work  Reviews and review essays
Review  Restrictive external peer review for publications	Review  Restrictive external peer review for publications	Review  Restrictive external peer review for publications
Dissemination  • Regional, national, and/or international	Dissemination  • National and/or international	Dissemination  • National and/or international