Faculty Performance Expectations ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & POLICY

Professional faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching and service (see section 5.224 and 5.226). Professorial faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching, scholarship and service (see sections 5.224-5.226).

All faculty members should be making progress toward performing at the preferred level in each of the areas applicable to their appointment. The acceptable level describes the minimum performance expected for continued employment. Note: unacceptable performance is defined as below an acceptable level and may require a plan for correction (see 5.370).

The preferred level describes the average or typical performance level for a faculty member making good progress toward final promotion. The exceptional level would characterize and recognize faculty who demonstrated significant achievements, well beyond the preferred level.

All faculty members must have the educational background required and have completed the required years in rank prior to the effective date of promotion or the required years of service prior to the date of awarding of tenure or a three-year extendable appointment (see section 5.223).

In addition, the faculty member's performance portfolio must be reviewed and demonstrate that there are sufficient contributions in each of the areas appropriate to the faculty member's appointment. Faculty must meet or exceed the acceptable performance level in each area applicable to their appointment. The number of areas required to exceed the acceptable level gradually increases (see table below) until all areas must be at the preferred level for final promotion (Senior Instructor 2 or Full Professor). Note: exceptional performance is not expected, nor required for promotion to any rank, however faculty members may elect to replace preferred performance in two areas with acceptable performance in one area and exceptional performance in the other.

Minimum Promotion and Tenure Performance Requirements

	Min Acceptable	Min Preferred	Min Exceptional
SR Instructor 1 (3 year extendable appt.)	1	1	
SR Instructor 2		OR	
Associate	1 2	1	1
Tenure	1	2 — OR —	
	2	3	1
Professor	1	— OR —	1

In reviewing the characteristics at each level, no faculty member will exactly fit the description in any one column. The evaluation goal is to identify the column that best describes an individual faculty member's performance in this area.

Teaching Performance Levels

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Student evaluations	Student evaluations	Student evaluations
 Rate instructor's teaching 	• Rate instructor's teaching	• Rate the instructor's teaching
effectiveness "very good" or higher	effectiveness at or near	effectiveness well into the
(see section 5.260)	"outstanding" (see section 5.260)	"outstanding" category (see section 5.260)
Classroom Instruction	Classroom Instruction	
 Evidence of a commitment to 	• Evidence of a commitment to	Classroom Instruction
improve instruction, such as	improve instruction (see acceptable	• Recognized by colleagues as a
> Professional development activities	column)	highly skilled and
that impacted instruction		knowledgeable instructor
Work with colleagues that impacted	 Beyond evidence of effective 	
instruction	practices (see acceptable column),	 Models excellent teaching
	also shares successful and/or	
• Evidence of effective practices, such	innovative practices with colleagues	 Demonstrates attention and
as		responsiveness to student need
Reflection and self-improvement	Curricular Development	
Engaging teaching methods	Beyond integrating courses into	Curricular Development (see
Providing meaningful classroom	departmental programs (see	preferred column)
experiences	acceptable column), also is an	35
	effective partner in curricular and	Mentoring
Curricular Development	program design and delivery	• Significant student mentoring
• Integrates courses into departmental	Mandanina	activities (either in quantity or
programs, such as	Mentoring	quality of work with students)
 Effectively prepares students for subsequent courses 	• Actively involved in some student mentoring activities	Regularly assists
Effectively builds on students prior	Assists students in capstone	students in capstone research
learning	research	 Contributes to student
Effectively addresses dept'l learning	Contributes to student	networking for
outcomes	networking for employment	employment and
outcomes	and research	research
Departmental Needs	una researen	Mentors colleagues to develop
• Cooperates respectfully with	Departmental Needs (see acceptable	their instructional abilities
program faculty and chair in	column)	(assessment, curricular design,
meeting departmental loading needs		effective delivery, etc.)

Departmental Needs (see acceptable column)

Service Performance Levels

Acceptable Preferred Exceptional

Departmental Service

- Active participant in dept'l work:
 - Advising students in dept'l programs; writing letters of recommendation; assisting at preview days, registration and orientation activities; and other advising related activities
 - Effective contributor on his/her fair share of dept'l committees
 - Effectively carrying out his/her fair share of individual dept'l tasks
 - Timely delivery of instruction and service

University/Professional Service

 Some activity beyond department or program (e.g. serve on active University committee most years under review). Active service in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a University committee. **Departmental Service** (see acceptable column)

University/Professional Service

- University service on active committees (at least one committee every year under review, more if committee(s) is not very active). Active service in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a University committee.
- Effective partner in accomplishing assignments

Leadership

 Some documentable accomplishment in a leadership role at the departmental, institutional or professional level during period under review (department chair, program coordinator, faculty program director, chair active committee, lead taskforce, significant individual task, etc.)

Community Service

 Record of service to the region (board service, task forces, commissions, solutions generation)

Professional Service

 Record of service to the profession beyond campus (professional committees, conference leadership, organizational leadership) **Departmental Service** (see acceptable column)

University/Professional Service (see preferred column)

Leadership

- Recognized as a faculty leader on campus
- Served in multiple leadership roles
- Significant accomplishments at the institutional level as a faculty leader (either multiple committees or taskforces, as a program director, as a department chair, or other significant leadership responsibilities resulting in multiple documentable achievements that furthered the institutional mission)

Community Service

 Record of exceptional service to the region (board service, task forces, commissions, solutions generation)

Professional Service

Record of exceptional service to the profession beyond campus (professional committees, conference leadership, organizational leadership)

Acceptable

Professional Publication

 At least one publication in a nationally recognized and refereed journal

Regional Solutions

 Participation in regional research to advance departmental and/or university mission (commissions/extramural funding/program evaluation/consulting)

Review

 Demonstrated participation in the review of scientific data for publication or presentation

Dissemination

 Disseminates professional research/expertise in at least one public form to potentially include (seminars, meetings, boards, commissions, conferences)

Preferred

At least one publication in a nationally recognized and

Professional Publication

refereed journal
One or more local/regional reports or papers advancing

regional mission/vision

Regional Solutions

 Participation and leadership in regional research to advance departmental and/or university mission (commissions/extramural funding/program evaluation/consulting)

Review

 Demonstrated participation in the review of scientific data for publication or presentation at the national or international level

Dissemination

- Disseminates professional research/expertise in public forum in the following ways
 - One regional, national, or international conference
 - One or more local or regional forums to potentially include (seminars, meetings, boards, commissions)

Exceptional

Professional Publication

- Two or more publications in a nationally recognized and refereed journal
- One or more local/regional reports or papers advancing regional mission/vision

Regional Solutions

 Participation and leadership in regional research to advance departmental and/or university mission (commissions/extramural funding/program evaluation/consulting)

Review

 Demonstrated leadership in the review of scientific data for publication or presentation at the national or international level

Dissemination

- Disseminates professional research/expertise in public forum in the following ways
 - Two or more regional, national, or international conference
 - One or more local or regional forums to potentially include (seminars, meetings, boards, commissions)