# Faculty Performance Expectations HISTORY

Professional faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching and service (see section 5.224 and 5.226). Professorial faculty members will be measured against the expectations listed under teaching, scholarship and service (see sections 5.224-5.226).

All faculty members should be making progress toward performing at the preferred level in each of the areas applicable to their appointment. The acceptable level describes the minimum performance expected for continued employment. Note: unacceptable performance is defined as below an acceptable level and may require a plan for correction (see 5.370).

The preferred level describes the average or typical performance level for a faculty member making good progress toward final promotion. The exceptional level would characterize and recognize faculty who demonstrated significant achievements, well beyond the preferred level.

All faculty members must have the educational background required and have completed the required years in rank prior to the effective date of promotion or the required years of service prior to the date of awarding of tenure or a three-year extendable appointment (see section 5.223).

In addition, the faculty member's performance portfolio must be reviewed and demonstrate that there are sufficient contributions in each of the areas appropriate to the faculty member's appointment. Faculty must meet or exceed the acceptable performance level in each area applicable to their appointment. The number of areas required to exceed the acceptable level gradually increases (see table below) until all areas must be at the preferred level for final promotion (Senior Instructor 2 or Full Professor). Note: exceptional performance is not expected, nor required for promotion to any rank, however faculty members may elect to replace preferred performance in two areas with acceptable performance in one area and exceptional performance in the other.

### **Minimum Promotion and Tenure Performance Requirements**

	Min Acceptable	Min Preferred	Min Exceptional
SR Instructor 1 (3 year extendable appt.)	1	1	
SR Instructor 2		OR	
Associate	1 2	1	1
Tenure	1	2 — OR —	
	2	3	1
Professor	1	— OR —	1

In reviewing the characteristics at each level, no faculty member will exactly fit the description in any one column. The evaluation goal is to identify the column that best describes an individual faculty member's performance in this area.

## **Teaching Performance Levels**

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Student evaluations	Student evaluations	Student evaluations
<ul> <li>Rate instructor's teaching</li> </ul>	• Rate instructor's teaching	• Rate the instructor's teaching
effectiveness "very good" or higher	effectiveness at or near	effectiveness well into the
(see section 5.260)	"outstanding" (see section 5.260)	"outstanding" category (see section 5.260)
Classroom Instruction	Classroom Instruction	,
<ul> <li>Evidence of a commitment to</li> </ul>	• Evidence of a commitment to	Classroom Instruction
improve instruction, such as	improve instruction (see acceptable	• Recognized by colleagues as a
<ul> <li>Professional development activities</li> </ul>	column)	highly skilled and
that impacted instruction		knowledgeable instructor
<ul> <li>Work with colleagues that impacted</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Beyond evidence of effective</li> </ul>	_
instruction	practices (see acceptable column),	<ul> <li>Models excellent teaching</li> </ul>
	also shares successful and/or	
• Evidence of effective practices, such	innovative practices with colleagues	<ul> <li>Demonstrates attention and</li> </ul>
as		responsiveness to student needs
<ul> <li>Reflection and self-improvement</li> </ul>	Curricular Development	
<ul> <li>Engaging teaching methods</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Beyond integrating courses into</li> </ul>	Curricular Development (see
<ul> <li>Providing meaningful classroom</li> </ul>	departmental programs (see	preferred column)
experiences	acceptable column), also is an	
	effective partner in curricular and	Mentoring
Curricular Development	program design and delivery	• Significant student mentoring
<ul> <li>Integrates courses into departmental</li> </ul>		activities (either in quantity or
programs, such as	Mentoring	quality of work with students)
<ul> <li>Effectively prepares students for</li> </ul>	• Actively involved in some student	
subsequent courses	mentoring activities	<ul> <li>Mentors colleagues to develop</li> </ul>
o Effectively builds on students prior		their instructional abilities
learning	<b>Departmental Needs</b> (see acceptable	(assessment, curricular design,
o Effectively addresses dept'l learning	column)	effective delivery, etc.)
outcomes		
		Departmental Needs (see
Departmental Needs		acceptable column)
• Cooperates with program faculty in		
meeting departmental loading needs		

[Department Expectations take the form of added bullets (solid circles) under any or all of the headings above as well as added bullets (open circles) under any or all of the existing bullets above.]

## **Service Performance Levels for Professorial Faculty**

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Departmental Service	Departmental Service (see	Departmental Service (see
<ul> <li>Active participant in dept'l work:</li> <li>Advising students in dept'l</li> </ul>	acceptable column)	acceptable column)
programs; writing letters of recommendation; assisting at preview days, registration and orientation activities; and other advising related activities  • Effective contributor on his/her fair share of dept'l committees	University/Professional Service  University service on active committees (at least one committee every year under review, more if committee(s) is not very active). Active service in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a University committee.	University/Professional Service (see preferred column)  Leadership  Recognized as a faculty leader on campus  Served in multiple leadership roles
<ul> <li>Effectively carrying out his/her fair share of individual dept'l tasks</li> </ul>	Effective partner in accomplishing assignments	Significant accomplishments at the institutional level as a faculty leader (either multiple)
University/Professional Service	Leadership	committees or taskforces, as
Some activity beyond department or program (e.g. serve on active University committee most years under review). Active service in professional organization or capacity may substitute for a University committee.	Some documentable     accomplishment in a leadership     role at the departmental,     institutional or professional     level during the period under     review (department chair,     program coordinator, faculty     program director, chair active     committee, lead taskforce,     significant individual task, etc.)	a program director, as a department chair, or other significant leadership responsibilities resulting in multiple documentable achievements that furthered the institutional mission)

## **Scholarship Performance Levels**

Acceptable	Preferred	Exceptional
Originality	Originality	Originality
Scholarship must be original	Scholarship must be original	Scholarship must be original
Meaningfulness  At least five items, such as: Journal articles Book chapters Encyclopedia articles Reviews and review essays Invited lectures Conference presentations At least one item must be a	Meaningfulness  • At least five items, such as: Journal articles Book chapters Encyclopedia articles Reviews and review essays Invited lectures Conference presentations • At least two items must be	<ul> <li>Meaningfulness</li> <li>Recognized as an expert in the field internationally</li> <li>Publication of a monograph or other significant book</li> <li>Additional scholarly production, demonstrated through items such as:</li> <li>Journal articles</li> </ul>
significant written publication	significant written publications	Book chapters Encyclopedia articles
Review  Must include at least one written publication that passed a moderately restrictive peer review process (ideally a double blind peer review process)  Dissemination  Scholarship is disseminated	Review  • Must include at least one written publication that passed a restrictive peer review process (ideally a double blind peer review process)  Dissemination  • Scholarship is disseminated	Reviews and review essays Invited lectures Conference presentations  Review  Must include more than one written publication that passed a restrictive peer review process (ideally a
nationally	nationally and internationally	double blind peer review process)  Dissemination  Scholarship is disseminated nationally and internationally